

ETH zürich



Parallel data visualization

PDC Summer School 2023 Jean M. Favre, CSCS August 24, 2023

What you will learn

- How to run an SMP-based ParaView session on the desktop
- How to run an MPI-based ParaView parallel session on your desktop and the PDC cluster
- Bottlenecks, or limitations
 - Parallel I/O
 - Parallel data extraction
 - Parallel graphics
 - Parallelism in a ParaView Python script
- Time parallelism









Parallel data visualisation on the desktop

Multi-core nodes

Threaded, shared-memory data processing on CPUs

A thread of execution is a sequence of instructions that can be executed concurrently with other such sequences in multithreading environments, while sharing the same address space.

- coarse-grained shared-memory computing. In ParaView/VTK, the <u>SMPTools</u> provide an abstraction over:
 - TBB (available on Dardel)
 - OpenMP
 - STD Thread (available on Dardel)





Demonstration on this laptop

- Intel® Core™ i7-12700H Processor
- export VTK_SMP_BACKEND_IN_USE=TBB or STDThread
- export VTK_SMP_MAX_THREADS=[0-20]





Shared memory parallel algorithms were kick-started in 2013

- I grep-ed for SMPTools and found it in 119 C++ class for ParaView 5.9
- I grep-ed for SMPTools and found it in 201 C++ class for ParaView 5.11

./IO/Geometry/vtkOpenFOAMReader.cxx ./IO/CGNS/vtkCGNSReader.cxx ./Imaging/Core/vtkImageDifference.cxx ./Imaging/Hybrid/vtkGaussianSplatter.cxx ./Rendering/Image/vtkDepthImageToPointCloud.cxx ./Parallel/DIY/vtkDIYGhostUtilities.cxx ./Filters/Geometry/vtkGeometryFilter.cxx ./Filters/GeometryPreview/vtkOctreeImageToPointSetFilter.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkElevationFilter.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkElevationFilter.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkFlyingEdges3D.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkResampleWithDataSet.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkContour3DLinearGrid.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkPolyDataNormals.cxx etc...

./Filters/Core/vtkCellCenters.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkContour3DLinearGrid.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkArrayCalculator.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkStructuredDataPlaneCutter.cxx ./Filters/Core/vtkProbeFilter.cxx ./Filters/Extraction/vtkExtractGeometry.cxx ./Filters/ParallelDIY2/vtkRedistributeDataSetFilter.cxx ./Filters/Points/vtkHierarchicalBinningFilter.cxx ./Filters/Points/vtkPointOccupancyFilter.cxx ./Filters/Points/vtkMaskPointsFilter.cxx ./Filters/Points/vtkProjectPointsToPlane.cxx ./Filters/Points/vtkPCACurvatureEstimation.cxx ./Filters/Points/vtkPointSmoothingFilter.cxx ./Filters/Points/vtkPointInterpolator2D.cxx ./Filters/Points/vtkPointDensityFilter.cxx ./Filters/General/vtkPointConnectivityFilter.cxx ./Filters/General/vtkDiscreteFlyingEdges3D.cxx ./Filters/General/vtkWarpScalar.cxx ./Filters/General/vtkGradientFilter.cxx etc...



Multi-core nodes

 In ParaView, many filters, will, by default, take advantage of some form of multi processing

See also the <u>Accelerated Algorithms</u>





Example 1: SPH Particle clouds to slices





SPH data interpolation

- The vtkSPHInterpolator filter uses SPH (smooth particle hydrodynamics) kernels to interpolate a data source onto an input structure.
- A Point locator is a crucial part of the execution path, to accelerate queries about points and their neighbors.
- SMP-based acceleration provides a fast plane interpolation using parallelism-on-thenode with Intel TBB.
- Piz Daint Compute node: dual socket Intel® Xeon® E5-2695 v4 @ 2.10GHz (18 cores)







Exercise/demonstration: Contour or FlyingEdges

cal plugins are automatically se	arched for in /local/apps/ParaView/5.11.1/bin/plugins.	
	Local Plugins	
Name	Property	
>-vtkPVInitializerPlugin	Loaded	
> AcceleratedAlgorithms	Loaded	
>- AnalyzeNIfTIReaderWriter	Not Loaded	
>- ArrowGlyph	Not Loaded	
>- BagPlotViewsAndFilters	Not Loaded	U U
>- Datamine	Not Loaded	
>- DigitalRockPhysics	Not Loaded	
>- EmbossingRepresentations	Not Loaded	
>- ExplicitStructuredGrid	Not Loaded	
>- FlipBookPlugin	Not Loaded	
>- GMVReader	Not Loaded	
>- GenericIOReader	Not Loaded	
>- GeodesicMeasurement	Not Loaded	
- HyperTreeGridADP	NotLoaded	
Load New Load Selected		Remove

time pvbatch pvTwoContours.py -c flyingedge

real 0m4.898s user 0m10.301s sys 0m1.355s



time pvbatch pvTwoContours.py

real	0m14.251s
user	0m14.532s
sys	0m1.208s

VTK-m

- This is a toolkit of scientific visualization algorithms for emerging processor architectures (GPUs and coprocessors). VTK-m is designed for fine-grained concurrency and provides abstract data and execution models that can be utilized to construct a variety of scalable algorithms.
- Blog <u>article</u>









MPI-based parallel data visualisation

MPI-based parallelism

- 1. pvbatch
- 2. paraview + N pvserver

You may test simple things on your desktop, and later move to the <u>Dardel</u> supercomputer

The <u>self-directed</u> tutorial has collected together the best recipes to learn.

mpiexec –n 4 pvbatch pvScript.py srun pvbatch pvScript.py

```
mpiexec –n 4 pvserver &
```

paraview -server=localhost pvscript.py





Remote and parallel visualization

Reference manual

Did you know?

Remote and parallel processing are often used together, but they refer to different concepts, and it is possible to have one without the other.

In the case of ParaView, remote processing refers to the concept of having a client, typically paraview or pvpython, connecting to a pvserver, which could be running on a different, remote machine. All the data processing and, potentially, the rendering can happen on the pvserver. The client drives the visualization process by building the visualization pipeline and viewing the generated results.

Parallel processing refers to a concept where instead of single core — which we call a rank — processing the entire dataset, we split the dataset among multiple ranks. Typically, an instance of pvserver runs in parallel on more than one rank. If a client is connected to a server that runs in parallel, we are using both remote and parallel processing.



In the case of **pybatch**, we have an application that operates in parallel but without a client connection. This is a case of parallel processing without remote processing.



Remote and parallel visualization ... for big data

- How big is "big"?
- Structured grids are lighter
- Unstructured grids store their topology explicity
- Beware that often, filters in ParaView will change the topology in some way, and these filters will write out the data as an unstructured grid
- Must read:
 - Avoid Data Explosion
 - Culling Data





Data Parallelism/partitioning

So you want to do "real" parallelism, and split your data among multiple nodes.

See also your lecture notes in the "introduction to MPI, pages 26-28"

Now, to the <u>details</u> about splitting data...





"Hello Sphere" in parallel

from paraview.simple import *

nbprocs = servermanager.ActiveConnection.GetNumberOfDataPartitions()

Sphere() # a synthetic data generator

pid = ProcessIdScalars()

rep = Show()

to scale for any number of processors.

rep.RescaleTransferFunctionToDataRange(False, True)

to save an image

SaveScreenshot(format("sphere.procs=%02d.png" % nbprocs))

Can be executed *locally* with: "mpiexec -n 4 paraview pvSphere.py"

"mpiexec -- n 4 pvbatch pvSphere.py"







Exercise/demonstration:

• pvbatch pvSphere.py

mpiexec -n 3 pvbatch pvSphere.py

mpiexec -n 7 pvbatch pvSphere.py











The Sphere Source example is parallel-aware

What about your data-reader?

Please. Plan ahead of time. Test. Ask for help





parallel-aware data readers. Survey Time!

Not all data readers are capable of reading data in parallel, and splitting (load balancing) their data amongst multiple servers

How many of you think that ParaView can read, in parallel:

- An OpenFoam dataset?
- A regular cartesian grid for Volume Rendering? (*.mhd)
- A regular cartesian grid using VTK XML vtkImageData format? (*.vti)
- A grid stored using VTK XML vtkUnstructuredGrid format? (*.vtu)



Details about the MetalO data reader

- https://www.paraview.org/Wiki/ITK/MetalO
- ObjectType = Image
- NDims = 3
- BinaryData = True
- BinaryDataByteOrderMSB = False
- CompressedData = False
- ElementSpacing = 0.5625 0.5625 1
- DimSize = 2048 1024 512
- ElementType = MET_FLOAT
- ElementDataFile = volume.raw

Live Demonstration





A quick fix

from paraview.simple import *

- f = MetaFileSeriesReader(FileNames=["volume.mhd"])
- f.UpdatePipeline()

SaveData("volume.vti")

Live Demonstration





parallel-aware data readers

- An OpenFoam dataset in parallel?
- A regular cartesian grid for Vol Rendering? (*.mhd) NO
- A regular cartesian grid using VTK XML vtkImageData format? (*.vti) YES

NO

A grid stored using VTK XML vtkUnstructuredGrid format? (*.vtu) NO



Data partitioning. Use a data format that supports it

By the way, how did ParaView do that? What a strange way to split the data!

We now need notions about the "Visualization Pipeline"







Visualization Pipeline: Introduction

From a survey article by Ken Moreland, IEEE Transactions on Visualizations and Computer Graphics, vol 19. no 3, March 2013

«A visualization pipeline embodies a *dataflow network* in which computation is described as a collection of executable *modules* that are connected in a directed graph representing how data moves between modules. There are three types of modules: *sources*, *filters* and *sinks*.»





Visualization Pipeline: Metadata

- Ist pass: Sources describe the region they can generate.
- 2nd pass: The application decides which region the sink should process.
- 3rd pass: The actual data flow through the pipeline





Visualization Pipeline: Data Parallelism

- Data parallelism partitions the input data into a set number of pieces, and replicates the pipeline for each piece.
- Some filters will have to exchange information (e.g. GhostCellGenerator)







The VTK visualization pipeline

VTK's main execution paradigm is the *data-flow*, i.e. the concept of a downstream flow of data









Examples of Filters/Sources

File Edit View	Sources Filters Tools Catalyst Macros F			
🖻 🖻 閣 関	Search Ctrl+Space			
	2D Glyph	File Edit View Sources Fi	Iters Tools Catalyst Macros H	Help
	3D Text		Soarch (trl Space	
	AMR GaussianPulse Source		Search Cur+space	time: 0
Pipeline Browser	Annotate Time		Recent	►
i builtin:	Arrow		AMR	
	Axes			
	BOX		Annotation	
	Cylinder	Pipeline Browser	CTH	▶
	Data Object Generator	builtip:	Common	
	Disk		Common	
	Hierarchical Fractal		Data Analysis	Contour
Properties Informat	Hyper Tree Grid		Material Analysis	
Properties	Line		Quadratura Dainta	Ciip
🖗 Apply 🖉 F	Mandelbrot		Quadrature Points	🔯 Slice
Search (use Esc to c	Octree Fractal		Statistics	Throshold
	Outline		Temporal	• Threshold
- Hoperaes	Plane Doint Source			🔋 Extract Subset
🗖 Display	Programmable Source		Alphabetical	Clyph
🔲 View (Render Viev	Ruler			Giypii
Center Axes Visibility	Sphere			🕃 Stream Tracer
Orientation Axes	SplineSource	Properties Information		- Warn By Vector
Orientation Axes Visi	Superquadric	Properties	8×	
Stereo Render	Text			Group Datasets
Background	Time Source	🕅 Apply 🖉 Reset 🛛 🗱 De	elete ?	© Extract Level
	Wavelet		[~~_]	



The VTK visualization pipeline

- VTK extends the *data-flow* paradigm
- VTK acts as an *event-flow* environment, where data flow downstream and events (or information) flow upstream
- ParaView's Rendering triggers the execution:

```
view = GetRenderView()
view.ViewTime = 5
Render()
```







Data partitioning



There are 4 ways to split structured data (by block, or along any of the I,J, K direction)

But ParaView only gives you one, by default. See pvDistributedGrid.py

There are also other ways to split data...

Think about grid-less particles, AMR or multi-block data



Visualization - PDC Summer School 2023



Does it matter? Should you feel concerned? ©

See our fluid flow visualization at the 2022 APS Division of Fluid Dynamics' Gallery of Fluid Motion "Turbulent Boundary Layer over Acoustic Liners"

The grid resolution used: (Nx, Ny, Nz) = [21504, 448, 1120]

ParaView's default partitioning would split data along the X axis to get a "*globally balanced*" partitioning =>

Disastrous performance for I/O because the natural, "contiguous" ordering of bytes on disk follows the X,Y, Z ordering.







Does it matter? Should you feel concerned? ©





Visualization - PDC Summer School 2023



Exercise/demonstration:

Locally (on your desktop):

mpiexec -n 4 pvserver &
paraview --server=localhost pvDistributedGrid.py

Edit the programmable source RequestInformation script to change the resolution of the grid (line 77) and re-run as many times as necessary to understand what is happening, and how <u>it might apply to</u> <u>your own simulation data</u>.



MPI-parallelism

- Transparent to the user
- Python scripts or interactive visualization should work without changes
- Beware of your I/O patterns and refer to the discussion about Data Extents and <u>ghost-cells</u>





Ghost-cells?









When the computation of ghost-cells is triggered by a filter

See *pvMakeGhostCells*.py*

- To do a better surface shading, we must use *Surface Normals* (right-side image)
- To compute Normals, we average normal vector of all vertices of a polygonal primitive
- What about when we are on the edge...?
- Typical of finite difference computational stencils. We ask neighbors for ghost cells.

 Implication => we most likely will re-read the data from disk with updated extents (the split indices)



Exercise/demonstration:

Locally (on your desktop):

mpiexec -n 4 pvserver &
paraview --server=localhost pvMakeGhostCells0.py

On Dardel:

module load PDC/22.06 paraview/5.11.1 salloc -n 4 -t 10 -p main -A edu23.summer srun –n 4 pvserver <= execute on Dardel

on desktop => | paraview --server=Dardel --connect-id ????? pvMakeGhostCells0.py





Ghost-cells?









Exercise/demonstration:

Locally (on your desktop):

mpiexec -n 4 pvbatch pvMakeGhostCellsLog.py | grep "Execute Wavelet1 id"

mpiexec -n 4 pvbatch pvMakeGhostCellsLog.py --ghostcells|grep "Execute Wavelet1 id"

Execute Wavelet1 id: 300, 0.002962 seconds Execute Wavelet1 id: 300, 0.004436 seconds Execute Wavelet1 id: 300, 0.003002 seconds Execute Wavelet1 id: 300, 0.003086 seconds





Does it matter?

 So, I have told you that using an MPI-based ParaView session is transparent to the user (no special tricks needed, no dependence on the rank and size of the MPI job).

- So what? The Python script gets executed twice and we got the right image.
- What if reading the data involved 100 Gb and 1024 MPI tasks? ③





Ghost Cells Generator

If your unstructured grid data is already partitioned satisfactorily but does not have ghost cells, it is possible to generate them using the <u>Ghost Cells Generator</u> filter.

For example, the <u>ParaView NEK5000 file format reader</u>, needs it to generate a surface geometry of a model, without the internal boundaries between spectral elements.











Mixed mode parallelism (SMP on the node and MPI across nodes)

Visualization - PDC Summer School 2023

Reading and using Nek5000 data

- The reading part is (of course) very dependent on disk I/O (MPI-based)
- A small part of reading the data is taken by the use of a vtkStaticCleanUnstructuredGrid to merge duplicate points (SMP-based)
- Extracting the solid geometry is done in two phases:
 - A Ghost-cell generator (SMP-based)
 - a surface extractor (SMP-based)

 Tests were run with a dataset made of 4,3 millions spectral elements of order 10, resulting in the generation of 3.2 billions hexahedra. Parallel reading is done 4 nodes, 32 MPI tasks and a maximum of 16 threads per task on an AMD EPYC 7742 64-Core single socket







Examples of hybrid parallelism:

- The DigitalRockPhysics plugin introduced in ParaView v 5.5. <u>Release Notes</u>
- The NEK5000 parallel reader introduced in ParaView v 5.11. Release notes





MPI-based parallel visualization on Dardel

Instructions from the <u>web site</u> suggest to allocate an interactive job with 128 cores

salloc -n 128 -t 60 -p main -A edu23.summer

• This would allocate an MPI job with 128 tasks. A big overhead for our exercises...

I suggest using 8 MPI tasks only

salloc -n 8 -t 10 -p main -A edu23.summer









Time parallelism

Embarassingly parallel visualization in the Time domain

- Very often, we have simulations producing many timesteps, where the visualization of each timestep can take a long time, but is independent of the other timesteps.
- You can split (or distribute) the processing load over multiple compute nodes

- man sbatch (and look for the --array option)
 - #SBATCH --array=0-299:25
 - pass the index \$SLURM_ARRAY_TASK_ID to the ParaView Python script
 - srun pvbatch -- pvScript.py --frames \${SLURM_ARRAY_TASK_ID}
 - squeue –u\$USER





pvScript.py

import argparse

```
parser = argparse.ArgumentParser()
parser.add_argument('--frames', required=True, type=int)
args, unknown = parser.parse_known_args()
```

start = args.frames

SaveAnimation("sequence.png", FrameWindow = [start, start+24])



Exercise/demonstration:

Write a SLURM job to write an animation of the Surface display of the Transient Double Gyre

split the computation of 300 timesteps by chunks of 25

Hints:

- renderView1.ViewSize = [1280, 720]
- SaveAnimation("LIC.png", ImageResolution=[1280, 720], FrameWindow=[start, start+24])

Add a time label

help(SaveAnimation)

Notice that filenames will be automatically numbered

ffmpeg -i LIC.%04d.png -c:v libx265 -tag:v hvc1 movie-file.mp4











Thank you for your attention.